G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—20 12 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

19D1-02
(Miscl)

File No. 59.

Section 11

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Letter relating to the Govt order directing the Confirmation of Survey Nos. 58. 59. 60. 61 on the Inam Enfranchisement Rs 3-12-0. to the Dhanaulka Swami Mutt Mamangi Village, Sunkeri Taluk — " —

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES.

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No

General No.
No.

To

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements

Dated - 5th Augt 1893.

No:
His docket No 4 822 April 1892 forwarding a memo of the proceedings relating to the Complaint of Dananka Devara matt, Manangi village, Tumkur District, ~~that the Inam land of the matt has been attached in part satisfaction for arrears of "Halsat" due thereon.~~

With reference to the above I have the honor to request that the Inam Superintendent will be good enough to at an early date report, whether or not the Inam Dept: have dealt with or propose dealing with this case under the Rules issued in 1891-92 for the revision of the Settlement of Chittoor Amarayi Inams

By order

Dcafs

Chief Secy.

Ch Sd/ AR-
11/8

→ Yes. I made a memorandum of the proceedings in the case & sent them to the Inam Commissioner for his approval

Draft

Petitioner claims that under Rule XI clause 8 of the Inam Rules the excess discovered in the ~~Inam~~ Inam lands attached to the

Should be Confirmed to the Mutt ~~without~~ on the original Jodi as the lands in question are situated ~~in~~ within Eniga Mudra Stone fixed at the time of the original grant

2. The title deed to the Inam is a Sanad by the late Maharaj dated 9th September 1812. ~~In this Sanad~~ This Sanad on a plot containing 12 kanis purports to Confirm a subsisting Inam of Lands & Tope bounded by Eniga Mudra Stones and long enjoyed by the Mutt for a long time prior to the issue of the Sanad. At the time of the Inam settlement in 1872. the question of excess was raised and an enquiry by the Inam & the Mutt was instituted & on a Jodi of quit-rent of 3 Rs 12 as. The question of excess was then raised, but though an enquiry was ordered and a report obtained which was favourable to the Inamdars, the decision was left to the

Britishi Rama Raya's order to the Amildar dated 1812 & issued on the above Sanad ~~dated 1812~~ mentions makes mention of a Tope of mango & Ippe trees to be continued as per manual to the Mutt.

Survey Department- During the Survey the lands within the Nilgamudra thones were made into 4 Survey numbers (58, 59, 60, 61) measuring 106 acres 10 guntas of which No 59 measuring 26 a 25 g was alone confirmed the rest including the tope being brought under the head of Khalsa & charged with full assessment.

. Both at the enquiry of 1872 and that of the Dr Com[r] in 1892, the evidence (vide Com[rs] report dd 15 July 1892 No 15) the evidence went to prove that the mutt had long been in possession of the ~~Tope and the~~ lands and Tope, that the Nilgamudra thones had not been tampered with, and that there was scarcely if at all any room for encroachment. While the evidence as regards the possession and thones which consists chiefly of the oral testimony of elderly men owning the surrounding lands must be taken with a certain amount

In proof of this last assertion the Dr Com[r] submits a list of the Govt and the various Inam lands surrounding the mutt lands and the dates from which they are known from Govt accounts to have been in the occupancy of mutt Two of these holdings Inam Nos 60 and 107.

~~the farmers farming the~~
line lying to the South of Survt no
61 claimed by the Mutt & the
Otera to the West of Survt Nos
58 have been ~~held in occupn~~
occupied at least since
1831-32.

To reserve, yet that
evidence taken together
with the fact that all
of the lands surrounding
the Inam lands have long
been in occupation thus
precluding the likelihood of
encroachment, Constituted
~~as a fact~~ as valid a
kind of proof as can
reasonably be expected
of an Inamdar in
substantiation of a
claim for exemption
under Rule XI clause 4 of
the Inam Rules of 1872.

Inamdar In this main
Govt are pleased to confirm
the Mutt Survt Nos 58
159, 60, and 61 as the
Inam appurtenant of 3 Rs 12 as

(1) Stamps Correct.

(2). Appeal nearly 2½ months beyond time. Petr pleads sickness & produces a Certificate from Retd Shembhoga Nen say the Petr was sick in Oct & Nov.

(3) Order produced.

4). Cl. 3 Rule 1 ?
the Addl Rules.

Submitted to the Council.

M 18/2

Hlgr

Admit
Call for
a counter

Aff

18/2

Replied
22/2/92
H

1892

No. 3075

Name of Petitioner
S. Ruderiah

Village. Inamangala

Taluk. Yemmiganur

District. Yemmiganur

Date of Arzi. 15-1-92

Date of Receipt. 18-1-92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Five.

The Petitioner begs

ABSTRACT.

For the upkeep of Dam
Dévarou mutt, the fo
of Devaraya doorg
granted certain lands
Inam (Papady) with this object
1 Varaha and three Hannas (Fanam
were fixed on the lands thou
the real assessment was 6 Va
-has and 3 Hannas.
Linga mudra Stones, with 'Linga' engr
on them were fixed to indic
the limits of the above land
In 1812 His Highness th
late Maharaja's Govt also
recognized the above grant
and the right of their enjoy
-ment having been subse
-quently obstructed

forced prohibiting
Executors from doing so.
~~Petitioner Sethumia~~ Copies
to above orders ~~for perusal~~ are annexed.

~~establish the tract~~
~~the mutt of the lands~~.

But, during the Inam
settlement of 1870, the authorities concerned without
examining the records
alleged but only 7 27/100 acres & 27 cents:
(Dry) and ~~Cheeroo~~ & 41 cents: 1
as belonging to the mutt.
as ~~part of the plots~~ a part of the land
belonging to the mutt ~~were~~ was treated as poot. ('Khalsat'
~~and to Government lands~~.

The petitioner having
~~protested~~, against this
proceeding, the Inam
Superintendent was
pleased to direct the amildar
to make an investigation
into the case. The amildar
accordingly forwarded
the Inam officer a
report to the effect that
certain lands had been
marked out by 'Linga-
engraved' stones and a
mahabajar testifying
to these lands being in the

enjoyment of the mutt.

No favourable orders having been issued, a new Complaint was ~~made~~ again preferred and it appears that the Tahsildar is directed to grant 27 acres to the mutt. But no new patta has been yet given and the mutt is not put in possession of its grove (Tope) and fields.

Once again the Inam Superintendant having been appealed to, dismissed the case on the ground that it had been previously decided. (as per Endorsement dated 18th August 1891)

Therefore the petitioners appeals to this office and prays for the restoration of the lands mentioned in the Summary. (Hirup).

N.B. The appeal ought to have been preferred before the end of October. But the petitioner I submit that he was prevented from doing so by serious illness to prove which he submits a mahajar of the inhabitants. (Patel + Shanbhog)

Abstract of the
Jumkur Taluk
mukbar order No 442
dated 18th August 1891
to the petitioner.

Decision The
petitioner that the
Inam Superintendent
refused to recommend the
case on the ground, that
it had been properly
decided.

Case
H K

SORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. NO. 3075 R
DATE 18-1-92

COURT FEE
MYS. RE
TWO RUPEES

Appeal No: 180 of 91-92

ಕಾಡಾನೆಯೊಂದಿಗೆ ಸೆಣಸಾಡಿದ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೆ ಸಂಘದಿಂದ ಸನ್ಮಾನ

ಮಲೆನಾಡಿನ ಅಡಿಕೆ ಬೆಳೆಗಾರರ ಸಂಘದ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಡಾನೆಗಳ ಉಪಟಳ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಕಾಡಾನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಓಡಿಸಲು ಹೋಗಿ ಗಾಯಗೊಂಡ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೆ ಸಂಘದ ವತಿಯಿಂದ ಸನ್ಮಾನ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಏರ್ಪಡಿಸಲಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಸಂಘದ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ಮಂಜುನಾಥ್ ಅವರು ಸನ್ಮಾನ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಉದ್ಘಾಟಿಸಿ ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಕಾಡಾನೆಗಳ ಉಪಟಳದಿಂದ ಅಡಿಕೆ ಬೆಳೆಗಾರರು ಅಪಾರ ನಷ್ಟ ಅನುಭವಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಕಾಡಾನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಓಡಿಸಲು ಹೋಗಿ ಗಾಯಗೊಂಡ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೆ ಸಂಘದ ವತಿಯಿಂದ ಸನ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಲಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಕಾಡಾನೆಗಳ ಉಪಟಳ ತಡೆಗಟ್ಟಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಕೂಡಲೇ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಒತ್ತಾಯಿಸಿದರು.

ಸಂಘದ ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿ ಹೆಗಡೆ ಅವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಕಾಡಾನೆಗಳ ಉಪಟಳ ತಡೆಗಟ್ಟಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಸೌರಬೇಲಿ ನಿರ್ಮಿಸಲು ಸಹಾಯಧನ ನೀಡುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಸೌರಬೇಲಿ ನಿರ್ಮಿಸಲು ಅಧಿಕ ಹಣ ಖರ್ಚಾಗುವುದರಿಂದ ಬಡ ರೈತರಿಗೆ ಸೌರಬೇಲಿ ನಿರ್ಮಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಸೌರಬೇಲಿ ನಿರ್ಮಿಸಲು ನೀಡುವ ಸಹಾಯಧನವನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಒತ್ತಾಯಿಸಿದರು.

ಸಂಘದ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಆರ್. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ ಅವರು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸಿ, ವಂದಿಸಿದರು. ಸಂಘದ ಖಜಾಂಚಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಪಿ. ಜಿ. ಗೋಪಾಲಕೃಷ್ಣ ಅವರು ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ನಿರೂಪಿಸಿದರು.

ಸನ್ಮಾನಿತರಾದ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಚಂದ್ರಪ್ಪ ಅವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಕಾಡಾನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಓಡಿಸಲು ಹೋಗಿ ಗಾಯಗೊಂಡ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೆ ಸಂಘದ ವತಿಯಿಂದ ಸನ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದು ಸಂತಸ ತಂದಿದೆ. ಕಾಡಾನೆಗಳ ಉಪಟಳ ತಡೆಗಟ್ಟಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಕೂಡಲೇ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಒತ್ತಾಯಿಸಿದರು.

ಕಾಲನೆಂಬಾತನಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದನೆಲ್ಲರು ಪ್ರತಿಕೂಲಿಸಿದರೂ ಅಲಂಸಿಗನಾಗಿ

ನಿಜಸಂಗಮಬಸವಣ್ಣಾ ಎಂಬುದೊಂದೆ ಚಿಲ್ಲಂಡೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಅಮೃತವನ್ನು ಭಕ್ತಿಯಿಂದ

ಸೇವಿಸಲಾಗಿ ಅಜರಾಮರವಾಗಿಪ್ಪೆನು ಕಾಲ ಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಗಳಿಗೆ

ನಂಜನಿಂಬೆಯ ಪ್ರಸಾದವ ಕೊಟ್ಟಲ್ಲಿ ಕಳೆವೆನಯ್ಯಾ ಕೂಡಲಸಂಗಮದೇವಾ

ಸಂಸಾರವೆಂಬ ಮೃಗತೃಷ್ಣೆಯ ಮಹಾಂಶದಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಂದು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಗಳಿಗೆ

ವನಾಲೆಯಿಂದ ನೀರ ಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಮೆಲ್ಲುಂಡಾನೆಂದಲ್ಲಿ ಬೈವರಯ್ಯಾ ನಿಮ್ಮ

ಸೀಮಾಂತರದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂದು ಆಶ್ರಯಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಗಳಿಗೆ

ಕೊಟ್ಟಲ್ಲಿ ಕಳೆವೆನಯ್ಯಾ ಕೂಡಲಸಂಗಮದೇವಾ

ಮದಗಜಕ್ಕೆಂದು ನಮ್ಮ ಲಿಂಗವಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಗಳಿಗೆ

ಭಕ್ತಿಯೆಂಬ ಕಣ್ಣಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡು ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ

ಬಂದ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದ

ಪ್ರಾಣಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದ

ಪ್ರಾಣಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದ

ಪ್ರಾಣಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದ

ಶಿಕ್ಷಾಕಾಂಡವರ್ಣನಪ್ರಕರಣ

ಶ್ರೀಂಗಿರಿಸಸಿಸಂಪತ್ಕರದ ಚಿರಬ್ರಹ್ಮಚಾರಿಗಳ್ ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ – ಕಾಮಳುಳ್ಳಂ
ಶ್ರೀಪತಿಕಾಂಯಿದುಸನ್ಮದ – ಅಕ್ಷಯ್ಯದಿಕಲ್ಪನಕ್ಷಯಂಕರಕಾಂಯತ್ರಿಕಿ – ಬುಧರಿಳ್ಳಲಿ
ಲುಟ್ಟಿಕಿದನಿಕಂಪಲಾಚಾರಿ – ಪೀಕಾರಂಬು – ಮುನಂದಿಪಸಂಪುಕ್ಕ – ಮುಳ್ಳಂಬಲ್ಲ
ನೈಪೈಕಿಂಬನಂತಿ ಪ್ರಕಾಶಿಪ್ಪಿ – ಪುಂಪ್ಟಾರಭ್ಪ – ದಗ್ಗಂಕಪೆಕಾಕಪುತಪದಪಲ್ಲ
ಗದೆಂಬುನಿನೆಗಿಸಲುಚಿಕ್ಕೆಬ್ಬಂಬಿಲ್ಲೊಲಿಪ್ಪಡ್ಪಿಗಿ – ಉಟ್ಟಿಪ್ಪಿಕಿಂಬು – ನಳ್ಳ
ಪ್ರತಿಂಬುಲುಪ್ಪಕಾರಂಕಕ್ಕಿ – ಸಪ್ಪುಗಳಕ್ಕಿ ಕಪ್ಪಾ ಜಿಂಬಿಕಿಂಬಿಪ್ಪ – ಬಾಂಕಿ
ಸುಳ್ಳಗಿಸಕ್ಕಿಳ್ಳಂನ್ಯಅನುಚ್ಚಕಿಂಬಿಕಿಂದುಬುಕ್ಕುಕಿಂಗ್ಗಿದಪ್ಪಿ –
ಕಾಂಬಿಕಾಂಚಿಪಸಂನಪ್ಪಮುತಿಗಪಿಕಿಂದುಪಳಿಂದಿಂಕಿ – ಕಬಿಂಬಳಿಲ್ಲಾಬಿಕಿನಂ
ರಿಪ್ಪತ್ತಿರಿದಾ – ಕೆಯ್ಯುಕಂಬಿಂಬಿಗಿಗ್ಗಿಪ್ಪಕ್ಕ – ಪಪದಿಲ್ಲಂಗಳುಪ್ಪಿಕಿಳಂಬಿಕಿಪ್ಪಿ
ಕಂಡಿದ ಭೃಂಬಿಂಬುಂನ್ಯಯುಪ್ಪಾಂ ಅಕ್ಷಾಣಿನಂಬಿಕಿಂಬ್ರು – ಬುಕ್ಕುಕಾಂಗಿ
ಅಪ್ಪಿಗಾಳಿಕಿಂಬಿಗಿಳ್ಳಿಲ್ಲಿಪ್ಪಪ್ಪಿಂದಾಕ್ಕಿಂಕಿಕಿಕಿಕಾಂದಭೃಮ್ಮುಕ್ಕಳ್ಗಿ – ಭೃಂ
ವಿಲಂಬುನ್ಯ – ಪುಲ್ಲಪ್ಪಿಯುಚಿಕಿಕಿಂಬಿಂ – ಗ್ಗಿಕನಳ್ಕಿಕಿಗ್ಗಿಂದಾ – ನಕ್ಕಪ್ಪಿಂ
ಪ್ರತಿಂಬುಲ್ಲುಂಟಿಕಿಪುಕ್ಕಳ್ಕಿಂಪಿಕಿಂಬಿಕಿಪಪುಳಿಂದ್ರ – ಗ್ಗಿಬುಸೆಗಿ
ಭೃಂಬಿಂಬುಂನ್ಯ ಪ್ಪಳಕಾಂಪಿಂದಾನಕಪ್ಪುಂಕಾ ಬುಕ್ಕುಪದು – ನಕ್ಕಪ್ಪಿಂ
ಪ್ರತಿಂಬುಲು – ಕಾಬಿಪುನ್ನಲಿನ – ಉಟ್ಟಿಂಬಕಿಂಕಪ್ಪಿ – ಪ್ಪಕಾಂಗಕಾಗಿ
ನಕಪ್ಪುಂಕಾ – ಗ್ಗಿಪುಂನಪ್ಪಿನ – ನಳ – ಕಿಕಪ್ಪಿಪಾಂಕಲಿಬ್ಬಿಕಿ ಬುಕಪುಳ್ಕಿಂಂಕ್ಕ
ಪ್ಪಿಂನಂ ಉಟ್ಟಿಕಿಪ್ಪುಕಿಕಿಂಕಪುವದುಂಕಾ ಗ್ಗಿನಕಿಕಿಂಪಿಕಿಕಿಪ್ಪಲುಂಬುಕ್ಕುಗ್ಗಿಗುಗ್ಗಿ
ನಿಗ್ಗಿಪ್ಪಸಂಗಿ – ಬಿಕಿಕಿಪ್ಪಲ್ಲಿಂ ಬುಕಿಕಾಕಿಪುಳುನಕ್ಕಿಕ ಬುಂಕ್ಕ – ಪುಟಿಕಿಪುಂಕ್ಕಿಂಕಾಂ
ನಕಾಂಬ್ಗಾಂಪ್ರತಿಂಬುಲು ಚಿಕಿಂಂಕಿಕಿಕಿಪಪುಳಿಂಕ್ಕ – ಭೃಂಬಿಂಬುನಕಪ್ಪುಂಕಾ ಬುಕ್ಕು
ನಪದುಂಬುಂದ್ರಪ್ಪಕ್ಕಾಂಕಾ ಚಿನಕಿಂಬುಂಕಪ್ಪುಪುಳುಂಕ್ಕಿಪ್ಪಿ ಬುಸ್ಸುಬುಕಗ್ಗಿಂಕಿ
ಬುಕಪ್ಪು – ಶ್ರೀಕಿಪ್ಪಿಕ್ಕಿಂಬುಂದ್ರಪಪುಸಕಕ್ಕಿಗಿಕಿಕ್ಕಿ

ಸಂಚಿತವೆಂದೆನಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಕರ್ಮವು ಪ್ರಾರಬ್ಧವಾಗಿ ಪರಿಣಮಿಸುವುದು

ಪ್ರಾರಬ್ಧವೆಂದರೆ ಅನುಭವಿಸಲೇಬೇಕಾದ ಕರ್ಮವು ಎಂದರ್ಥ.

ಈ ಪ್ರಕಾರವಾಗಿ ಅನುಭವಿಸಲೇಬೇಕಾದ ಪ್ರಾರಬ್ಧವು ಅಂಗಾಂಗಗಳ ಚೇಷ್ಟೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಡಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅಂಗಾಂಗಗಳ ಚೇಷ್ಟೆಯನ್ನು ಅಳಿಸಿಬಿಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ಅಂಗಾಂಗಗಳ ಚೇಷ್ಟೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಡಗಿರುವ ಪ್ರಾರಬ್ಧವನ್ನು ಅಳಿಸಿಬಿಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆವಲ್ಲವೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ

ಸಂಪಾದಕರು ಅವರಿಗೆ ನಮಸ್ಕಾರಗಳು

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

ಉಮಾಪತಿ ಕಾಮತ್

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ - ಈ - ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ
ನಿಮ್ಮ ಲೇಖನಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಲು ಸಂತೋಷಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ

(2)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

Inam Instructions dtd 2/4/92

To the same No. 693 dtd 13/4/92
From the same No. 4 dtd 22/4/92

The accompanying Petition No. 3075 dated 15th January /92 received at the Dewan's Office from Rudriah a grant of the Inam in Kinnagere village, Sunkur Taluk

is forwarded, together with its five enclosures, to the Inam Superintendent for submission of proceedings with a brief report

By Order,

K Mafler
Under Consl
order

Chief Secretary

BANGALORE,
18/2/1892.

(3)

1892.

From the same No. 4 dy 22/4/92

From The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To The Inam Supt.

Dated

Despatched 13 April /92

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 693 Rs

P. No. 2

This Office No. 45 dated 18th Feby 1892 calling for Progs & a brief report on petn no 3075 dy 15th Jan 1892 from Rudriah agent of the mutt in managee village, Tumkur Taluk

and subsequent reminder marginally noted

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request an early reply

"By Order"

Draft

12/4

Under-Secretary.

Vol. 18

9/45
23 APR. 92
P. 3
DEWAN OF MYSORE

From
The Supt. of Inam
Settlt.

To,
The Chief Secretary
to the Government
of Mysore

Dated 22d April
Recd 23d

Enclosure Nil as per Ferret Patty

No. 4 of 1892-93

Forwarding his official Memo
No. 45 of the 18th February
last.

As requested in the
above, has the honor to
forward the Inam Registe
and other papers connecte
with the case under report
together with a Memo
showing all the particular
thereof. Enclosures returned.

Supt. of Inam Settlt.

Report called for
has been recd.

Submitted to
the Council

27/4/92

(No. 153 of Yoonkur Taluk)

(As per the Ferret-Patty attached thereto.) All to be Kindly returned when done with.

Pet. No.
28/4/92

(General Serial No 30)

1892.

(5)

From The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Pelugone

To The Deputy Commr Tuticorin

Dated 30
Despatched } May/92
Received

Enclosures No.

General No 3557 Rd
No. P75

Forwarding Sub Imam Superintendents report, and requesting the Dy. Commr to report on personal examination on the allegations made by one Shaviah against Dewan Vadeis Iruttu, in Manangi valli

From the same No. 8 of 3/5/92

158-9 dt 3/5/92

R.
No 3557
& No 75 dated 30th May 1892

Read Report of the Inam
Comr dy 22d apl 1892
No 4

—

Report will be forwarded
to the Dy Comr of Dumkur with
a request that he will personally
examine the boundary stones,
& report whether he has any
reason to think that they have
been in any tampered with, &
whether or not the Inamr
is entitled to the whole area
claimed. —

23/5/92

(General Serial No 30)

1892 2705

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To The Chief Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore

Dated 3rd June 1892

Despatched

Received

In the name of H.H. 24/6/92

5372 of 99

Enclosures No.

General No.
No. 8

Requisting to send return
the letter of the Inam
Commissioner mentioned
in the Government Rec.
no 3551/75 of the 24th ultimo.

Manager
Whose responsible for
this omission? Note
6/6/92

H. Kesavaiah does the despatch
work of the Petition Manager
He is however borne on the
Estabt as a Moorkeed
has but an slight
knowledge of English

He is to speak, read & write Engl. & Kan. fluently

Mr Sayer

Send the Inam
letter to Dy Com. by
obliged Sec
Mys

AT
9-6-92

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tumkur District

No. 8 Tumkur 3rd June 1892.

To.

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Mysore

Sir

Adverting to Government order No. 3551/75 of the 24th ultimo calling for a report on the Dnaw Commissioner's letter No. 4 of the 22nd April last anent the boundary Stones in an Dnaw village therein referred to, I have the honor to state that the letter of the Dnaw Commissioner was not received with the order, and to request that it may be kindly sent.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner

(7)

(General Serial No 30)

1892.

From The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

To The Deputy Commr, Tumkur Distt

Dated

Despatched 24 June 1892

Received

Enclosures No. one file

General No. 5379 Regd

pNo. 9977

His letter No. 8 of the 3d instant.

Transmitted copies 15-7-92

As requested in the above has the honor to forward herewith, for reference & return Inam Supdt's docket No. 4 of 22d April last together with its enclosures relating to the Inam lands attached to the Danan Kkadasi Mutt in the Hanange village, Tumkur Taluk.

By Order

Draft.

Chief Sec

1. Memo No. 10.
2. Inam Register No. 153 of Tumkur Taluk
3. File of Canarese papers as per the Jarist Patte attached thereto.

To be obligingly returned.

(General Serial No 30) 719.

1892. Pet 39

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Gunthur District

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Dated 15th July 1892.

Despatched 18th —

Received 20/7

Enclosures No 3 + file

General No 155
No.

Chief Secretary's Docket No. 5379/99
of the 24th ultimo.

Stating that the boundary stones of the Inam lands of Danam Vadar's Mutt in the Inamangi villa of the Gunthur Taluk have not been tampered with + that the owners of the Mutt are entitled to the whole area claimed.

Pen Br Inm 20/7

To the same No. 1946 dt 14/8/92
Pet F 116-2

Submitted to Council with Memo 22/7/92

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tumkur District

No. 155 Camp - Gubbi 15th July 1892

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Mysore

Sir With reference to the correspondence ending with your Docket No. 5379/99 of the 24th ultimo, I beg to submit as follows.

2 The subject matter of this correspondence is a claim advanced for the whole of the lands within the boundary stones fixed when the lands were given as inam in support of a Lingayat Mutt. The land is situated in the village of Hanangee in the Gubbi hobly of the Tumkur Taluk. At the time of survey the land was made into four different numbers viz 58, 59, 60 and 61; the area of the whole being 106-10 assessed A G

at Rupees 78 —.— The Inam accounts shewing that an extent equal only to A G 22-38 assessed at Rupees 18-12— was granted as inam that much only was confirmed as inam and the rest treated as Sirkar. Hence the present appeal to Government. The Inamdar's contention seems to be that the difference in the area is owing to the difference in the methods of measuring in the former and the present days. He contends that the whole of the lands within the boundary stones called the "Lingamudra Kallu" should be confirmed to him, in as much as the whole of it has all along been in his possession.

3. The Inam Superintendent having reported on the case, I am, in Government order No. 3551/75 of the 24th May 1892, directed personally to examine the boundary stones and report whether I have any reason

to think that they have been in any way tampered with and whether in my opinion the Inamdar is entitled to the whole area claimed.

4. I inspected the locality yesterday with the Inamdar and the villagers including the holders of the adjoining lands. The boundary stones are all existing. The oldest of the villagers say that the whole of the area has all along been in the possession of the Inamdar. So far as I was able to gather from my local enquiry there seems to have been little or no room for encroachment. The holders of the adjoining fields say that there has been no encroachment. My opinion, therefore is that the boundary stones have not been tampered with and that the Inamdar is entitled to the whole area claimed.

5- The mutt is reported to be in good condition and I am given to understand that people are fed in it-

6 The enclosures of your Docket No. 5379 of the 24th ultimo, are all herewith returned-

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedt Servant
W. Venkatarangaya
Deputy Commissioner

Read letter from H. Off
Govt of Sumbawa
dy 15 Sep 1892 no 155

—

H. Off Govt is requested
to report by what
description of lands the
Sawah within the Langa Mundu
stones is surrounded, their
area as entered in the accounts
& as found by the Survey,
& from what time they have
been under occupation.—

5/9/92.

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Dy Commr.
Tumkur Distt.

Dated 9th
Despatched 10th } Augt 92.
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 1946
Pet F. No. 116-92

Calling for a report regarding the lands surrounding the Inam lands attached to the Danaik's Vadai's Mutt, Marangi village, Tumkur Taluk.

References to be marked.

From the same No 375-3 17/10 7&L

Read

Letter from the Dy: Commr
of Tumkur dd 15th July/92. No 155.

Order thereon. R. 1946/P.F 116

The Dy: Commr: is
requested to report by what
description of lands the Inam
within the Linga Mudra
stones is surrounded, their
area as entered in the ac-
counts and as found by the
Survey and from what
time they have been under
occupation.

Re Draft

Chief Secy

To

The Dy: Commr: Tumkur Dt.

(General Serial No. 30.) 3995

1892 & 93 Pet 189

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur district

To The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Mysore

Dated Tumkur 17th October 189

Despatched 18th —do—

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No.
No. 375

Submitted —

Ref to last order —

Govt order date 9th Augt 1892, No: 1946 116-92

What description of lands surround the Inam within the Linga mudra stones?

With the exception of 3 lands the rest are Dry lands —
(Please see statement for further details)

Submitting a statement shewing the description of lands by which the Inam within the Linga Mudra Stones in the village Manangee in the Tumkur Taluk is surrounded

Govt order No 1946 87 116 of 9th August 1892.

Sd/ [illegible]
5.12.92

Put up the order recently passed with regard to despatch of Inam papers
What is the extent of land marked X in the sketch for which no papers are forthcoming & for which an altered Khoom has been taken up since settled & what Mamul lands whether close with lands marked X there are Linga mudras stones separating the said lands from the Inam

Peon for Mr 19/10

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tumkur District

No. 375 Tumkur 17th October 1892

To.

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Mysore

Sir

As directed in Government order No. 1946 of the 9th August 1892 I have the honor to submit herewith a Statement shewing the description of lands by which the Inam within the Kuiga Mudra Stones in the village of Manangel in the Tumkur Taluk is surrounded, their area as entered in the accounts and as found by the Survey and the time they have been under holding.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servt

Sd/ [illegible]
Asst Deputy Commissioner

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.93

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore

To

The Deputy Commr
Tumkur Distt

Dated 12

Despatched 14 December

Received

Enclosures No one

General No. 8210
Petn No. 116-92

Calling for further information in connection with the Inam attached to the Dasanik Vaddars' mutt in Kanangi village, Tumkur Taluk

References to be marked

From the Dasanik No 534 dt 19/12/92

To

The Deputy Commr
Tumkur District.

Sir

His letter No 375 of 17 "Cir" /92, submitting a statement shown the description of lands by which the Inam (given in support of Danak Vadars' suit) within the Linga Mudra stones in the village of Amanagi, Tumkur Taluk, is surrounded.

—"—

Has the honor to return for remission the statement referred to, and to request that the Dy Commr will be good enough to state whether close to the lands marked X in the statement there are Linga Mudra stones separating the said lands from the Inam in dispute. The plan or sketch shewing the Inam ~~confirmed~~ confirmed, the land in dispute and the surrounding lands

specified in the list should be submitted. The plans should also show the position of the Linga mudra stones.

'By order'

Draft
(Council order)

Chief Secretary

(General Serial No. 30.)

6544.

1892-93

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan Govt
of Mysore.

Dated Camp Ariyur 19th Decr 189
Despatched 20 do —
Received

Enclosures No. 2

General No.
No. 534.

Secy's docket No 8270 of
116
the 12th instant. 1

Submitting a plan shewing the lands granted as Inam to Danaik Vadair Mutto in the Inamanged village of the Tumkur Taluk, and giving the particulars called for in the above —

Peter Dr. Inm
U/R

The Inam is surrounded for the most part by Potta lands which have been for the most part

N10

long under occupation — Large masonry stones are not such ~~undoubted~~ marks to justify the presumption that they have been always in the places where they now are. Local ~~Registry~~ however shows that there has been no encroachment.

Under all these circumstances, I think that the ends of justice will be attained if the decree is confirmed as soon as ~~heard~~ asst — This is what was done in Madras in all doubtful cases —

20/5/93

The boundary stones have not been tampered with. No encroachment has been proved. But suppl then tampering 1/2 Subject Ludewar 20.5.93

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tumkur District

No. 534 Tumkur 19th December 1892.

(12)

To.

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir

With reference to your Docket No. 8270/116 of the 12th instant, I have the honor to submit herewith a plan shewing the lands granted as Inam to Damanik Vadair Mutt in the Hamlet village of the Tumkur Taluk. The land is divided into 4 numbers 58, 59, 60 and 61. Only one of the numbers viz 59 has been confirmed as Inam by the Inam Department. The rest have been fully assessed. The petitioner claims them as having all along been enjoyed as Inam. The position of the Linga mudr Stones existing round the Inam is shewn in the plan.

2. The descriptive list of the fields surrounding the Inam lands

with the docket under seal is herewith
resubmitted

3 I saw the locality again on the
17th instant.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant
[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner

Copy sent to the Asst. Commr
with the connected papers

M/1219

under order on Pet
dt 28/2/1894

(Copyist Serial No. 32.)

1893.

No. 67

Name of Petitioner. Rudriya, agent of Danankadevara Matt.

Village. Manangi

Taluk. Tumkur

District. Do

Date of Arrt. 7-7-93

Date of Receipt. 10-7-93

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

States that the Amildr has attached & notified for sales, the inam lands of the Matt for the "Kalasat" arrs & prays that the sale may be stayed pending the disposal of his Appeal, dt January 1892

12/7.

MYSORE
10-2-95

ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ, ಚಾಮುಂಡೇಶ್ವರಿ ಸಹಕಾರ ಬ್ಯಾಂಕ್, ಮೈಸೂರು

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ ಮತ್ತು ನಿಯಮಗಳ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಚಾಮುಂಡೇಶ್ವರಿ ಸಹಕಾರ ಬ್ಯಾಂಕ್‌ನ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾಗಿರುವ ನಾನು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಕಾರಣಗಳಿಗಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸದಸ್ಯತ್ವವನ್ನು ರದ್ದುಪಡಿಸಿ ಪಾವತಿಸಿರುವ ಷೇರು ಬಂಡವಾಳವನ್ನು ಹಿಂತಿರುಗಿಸಲು ಕೋರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಕಾರಣಗಳು: ನಾನು ಬ್ಯಾಂಕಿನ ಸೇವೆಗಳನ್ನು ಇನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆ ಪಡೆಯಲು ಇಚ್ಛಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ನನ್ನ ಸದಸ್ಯತ್ವವನ್ನು ರದ್ದುಪಡಿಸಿ ಪಾವತಿಸಿರುವ ಷೇರು ಬಂಡವಾಳವನ್ನು ಹಿಂತಿರುಗಿಸಲು ಕೋರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: 872

ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸ: ಕೆ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ, ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 14, 2ನೇ ಮುಖ್ಯರಸ್ತೆ, ಸರಸ್ವತಿಪುರಂ, ಮೈಸೂರು

ಷೇರು ಬಂಡವಾಳ: ₹ 1,000

ಠೇವಣಿ ಖಾತೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಉಳಿತಾಯ ಖಾತೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 3412

ಸಹಿ

ಕೆ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ

(General Serial No. 32.)

1893.

No. 348

Name of Petitioner. Rudrairyya, agent of Damarla Devaraj Subbu.

Village. Manangi

Taluk. Tumkur

District. D.C.

Date of Arzi. 2-8-93

Date of Receipt. 3-8-93

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

Praying for orders to the Deputy Commissioner to stay the sale of the Chat. Inam lands which are under attachment & notified for sale on the 11th inst., pending the disposal of his Appeal of January 1892 in this office.

AR
4/8.

D & the Chief Secy.

The case awaits the orders of the Dewan to whom the Councillor have referred it for final disposal (1)

The reference required is the Chief Secretary's D.O. paper relating to the Jodi Inam lands of Kollam Venkatrow and Sabhapati Modliyar have not been found yet. (2)

BR 4/8

(1) [redacted] - Next one point on which the Dewan Pd. wish to have my opinion, whether I must the Inamdars have dealt with or persons dealing with this case under the rules issued in 1891-92 for the Resumption of the Chattram Amaya Inams - What is the answer

(2) Order D.O. to postpone Sale for three months pending disposal of appeal

The Dewan

Sd/ Illegible
C.S.

REC'D. NO. 348R

DATE 3-8-93

(General Serial No 30.)

189

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No
No

References to be marked

To

The Dy. Commissioner
Jaffna

Dated 5th August 1893.

No Pet / 178

Petition dated 7th July & 2nd August 1893 from Rudraiya Agent of Dananka Devara Matt at Manange, Jaffna Taluq, praying that the sale of the Matt Iraiyur Inam lands for the "Kalsat" arrears recently ~~declared~~ ordered to be held on 11th inst may be stayed, pending disposal of the appeal to Govt.

~~~~~

The Dy Commr. is requested to postpone the sale for three months pending disposal of the appeal to Govt.

By Order

5/8/93
Chief Secy.

Draft

Issued
5/8/93
~~~~~

were submitted
Excellency the Dewan on
5/93 with letter No 534 d/ 19/12/92
on D.C. Tumkur.
C.G. 30-3-94

In take the charge
pending final orders
of Govt

1893

No. 1073

Name of Petitioner. Hudraya, agent of Dhanushkodeswara Swathi

Village. Hanangi

Taluk. Tumkur

District. Do

Date of Appl. 25-10-93.

Date of Receipt. 30-10-93

Date of Disposal

No of Enclosures. nil

ABSTRACT.

With reference to this office
endorsement no. 348, the petitioner
states that the three months'
time granted to him according
to that order is fast expiring
& prays for an order to the Revenue
Commissioner to stay the sale
of the inam lands pending the
disposal of his Appeal in this
office

1073 R
30-10-93

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಿರಿಯ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು ಮಡಿಕೇರಿ

ಸರ್ ವಿಷಯ: ಮನವಿ

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ, ಕೊಡಗು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಸೋಮವಾರಪೇಟೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ಕಸಬಾ ಹೋಬಳಿಯ ಕಿರಗಂದೂರು ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. ೧೨೩ರಲ್ಲಿ ೧೦ ಎಕರೆ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿ ಈ ಹಿಂದೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೂ ಯಾವುದೇ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಕೂಡಲೇ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿ ಈ ಮನವಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ನಾನು ಕಳೆದ ೨೦ ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಕಿರಗಂದೂರು ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ವಾಸವಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಕೃಷಿಯನ್ನೇ ಜೀವನಾಧಾರವಾಗಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ನನಗೆ ಸ್ವಂತ ಜಮೀನು ಇಲ್ಲದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಬೇರೆಯವರ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಗೇಣಿಗೆ ಪಡೆದು ಕೃಷಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಇದರಿಂದ ಬರುವ ಆದಾಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕುಟುಂಬ ನಿರ್ವಹಣೆ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಕಷ್ಟಕರವಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಯೋಜನೆಯಡಿ ನನಗೆ ಜಮೀನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರಿ ಕಳೆದ ವರ್ಷ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದೆ.

ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿ ಒಂದು ವರ್ಷ ಕಳೆದರೂ ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೂ ಯಾವುದೇ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆಗಾಗ್ಗೆ ಕಚೇರಿಗೆ ಅಲೆದಾಡಿದರೂ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಸ್ಪಂದಿಸುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಕೆಲವು ಸಲ ಕಚೇರಿಗೆ ಹೋದಾಗ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ಪ್ರವಾಸದಲ್ಲಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂಬ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಹೀಗಾಗಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಪ್ರಗತಿಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಿಳಿಯಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಕೂಡಲೇ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿ ಈ ಮನವಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಕಿರಗಂದೂರು ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. ೧೨೩ರಲ್ಲಿ ೧೦ ಎಕರೆ ಜಮೀನು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಜಮೀನಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಇದನ್ನು ಯಾರಿಗೂ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಡು ಬೆಳೆದಿದ್ದು, ಕೃಷಿಗೆ ಯೋಗ್ಯವಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ನನಗೆ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ನಾನು ಕೃಷಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಜೀವನ ನಡೆಸಲು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಕೂಡಲೇ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿ ಈ ಮನವಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಕೂಡಲೇ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿ ಈ ಮನವಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಅಗತ್ಯ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ತಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ವಿನಂತಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,
ಸಹಿ/-
ಹೆಸರು: ಕೆ.ಎಂ. ಮಂಜುನಾಥ್
ವಿಳಾಸ: ಕಿರಗಂದೂರು ಗ್ರಾಮ, ಸೋಮವಾರಪೇಟೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

eneral Serial No. 32.)

1894.

No. 3416.

Name of Petitioner. Rudriah, agent of Shanmukhadevaru Gudi.

Village. Hiriangi

Taluk. Tumkur

District. Tumkur

Date of Arzi. 30-5-94

Date of Receipt. 30-5-94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

Reminder to his petition of the 9th inst. praying that the sale property may be stayed pend. the disposal of his appeal in this office.

ees were submitted to H.H.O. " on 23/5/93 with letter No. 564 dy from D.C. Tumkur

Subsequent letter was sent yesterday to Mr Ramachandra Aiyar for submission to the Dewan Bahadur

30/5

30/5

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
No.



References to be marked.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—9-1-93.—3,000.

Read: —

(1) Petition No 180 of 1891-92 from Rudraiya, Agent of Dhanankadevar Mutt at Inamangi in the Tumkur Taluk, complaining of a large extent of the Inam lands comprised within the boundaries fixed by the grant having been treated as Khalsat.

(ii) Docket No 4 of 22d April 1892 from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements, submitting the papers connected with the case, with a report, of which the following is an abstract: —

(1) The Inam was confirmed on Krishnaraja standard of ~~measurement~~ measure. Petitioner urges it should have been confirmed according to Devaraja scale, which is six times larger than the Krishnarajah standard, but there is nothing to show that the Inam was granted on the Devarajan scale of measurement

(2) On the complaint of the Agent of the Mutt, Colonel Cole enquired into the genuineness of the Linga Mudre stones

the boundaries of the Inam

The Amildar reports that the stones were stated by several old men examined by him to be the same as were in existence before, but the late Inam Superintendent observed that Lingâmudri stones were not the sort of permanent boundaries contemplated in Rule XI clause 4 of the Inam Rules, because they were suspicious and liable to be tampered with from their very nature, and left the question for settlement by the Officer charged the excess discovered in Inam lands.

(3) At the survey settlement $\frac{a}{22} - \frac{g}{38}$ out of $\frac{a}{106} - \frac{g}{10}$ in the possession of the Inamdar were confirmed as Inam and the rest measuring $\frac{a}{83} - \frac{g}{12}$ was treated as Khalsa and assessed at

(iii) Correspondence and

with letter No 534 dated 19th

December 1892 from the Deputy

Commissioner, Tumkur District

Order thereon

D/ Bangalore August/93

The Deputy Commissioner

was asked to report after

personal inspection of the

boundary stones whether he

had any reason to think that

they had been in any way

tampered with and whether

in his opinion the Inamdar

was entitled to the whole of

the area claimed. The

Deputy Commissioner states

that from enquiries made

at the spot, there appeared to

him to have been little or

no room for encroachment

and that in his opinion the

boundary stones have

and the Inamdar is entitled to the area claimed. He has further submitted a plan and a statement showing the situation and the boundaries of the lands claimed, from which it is seen that the lands within the lands fixed by the Lingmudrè stones are surrounded for the most part by Pall lands which have been for the most part long under occupation.

Linga Mudrè stones are, as remarked by the Inam Superintendent, not by themselves such marks as would justify the presumption that they have been always in the places where they now are, but local enquiry in this instance shows that there has been no encroachment

under seems thus
to be entitled to the whole area
claimed, and under all the
circumstances of the case,
the Government are pleased
to confirm the excess (namely
Nos 58, 60 & 61) on half
assessment, to be paid in
addition to the Jodi of Rs 3-12-6
fixed on land No 59 (measuring
a g
22 -38 and assessed at Rs 18-12-)
as now
confirmed, by the Survey

(General Serial No. 32.)

1894.

No. 3259

Name of Petitioner. Kudriah - Agent of Dan ~~Devara Kutta~~

Village. Nidanapi - Pulur Hobli

Taluk. Tumkur

District. Tumkur

Date of Arzi. 8-5-94

Date of Receipt. 14-5-94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

States that he was granted an endorsement on ~~bond~~ No 348 of 6 August 98 in Lunatic that the Dy. Commr was requested to stay the sale till his appeal was disposed of i.e for three months, that though the appeal is yet undisposed the Dy Commr has fixed the sale on the 5th June and prays that the sale may be ordered to be stayed till his appeal is disposed & that an endorsement may be granted to him.

The Memo required is 178. It was not sent to the Recordkeeper along with other drafts. case was submitted to H. E. the Dewan on 22/5/93. The memo might have been sent to be put with the file of the case.

Submitted to H. E. the Dewan for orders.

Proceedings of the Govt: of H.H the Maharaja of Travancore

Read:—

(i) Petition No. 180 of 1891-92 from Rudraya Agent of Dhanankadevar Mutt at Manargi in the Tinnevelli District complaining of a large extent of the Inam Lands comprised within the boundaries fixed by the grant having been treated as Khalsa

(ii) Docket No 4 dated 22nd April 1892, from the Supdt of Inam Settlements, submitting the papers connected with the case, with a report thereon

(iii) Correspo:

with letter No. 534 dated 19 December 1892 from the - Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District

---

Order thereon No. 21529-30
P.F. 116-92
Dt 1.6.~~March~~ 1894

~~~~~

Petitioner claims that under Rule XI Clause A of the Inam Rules the excess discovered in the Inam lands attached to the Dummikadevara Matt at Managu in the Tumkur District should be confirmed to the Matt on the original Jode as the lands in question are situated within Linga Mudra Stones fixed at the
~~~~~

time of the original grant

The title-deed to the Inam is a Nirup by the late Maharaja dated 9 September 1812 This Nirup which might be regarded as equivalent to a Sannad purports to confirm on a Jodi of 13 Hanas a subsisting Inam of lands and Tope bounded by Linga mudra stones and enjoyed by the matt for a long time prior to the issue of the Nirup. Bakshe Rama Rao's order to the Amildar dated October 1812 and based on the above Nirup makes mention of a Tope of mango and Ippé trees

to be continued as hereto
manual to the Matt. At
the time of the Inam
Settlement in 1872, the
Inam was confirmed
to the Matt on a quit
rent of Rs 3/12 The ques-
tion of excess was then
raised, but though an
enquiry was ordered
and a report obtained
which was favorable to
the Inamdar, the decision
was left to the Survey
a Department. During
the Survey the lands
on within the Linga mudra
the stones were made into
Lan 4 survey numbers, 58
to 59, 60 and 61, measuring
Acres 106 acres 10 guntas, of

which No 59 measuring
2.6 acres; 25 guntas was
alone confirmed, the rest
excluding the Tope being
brought under the head
of Khalsah and charged
with full assessment

3 Both at the en-
-quiry of 1872 and that
of the Deputy Commis-
sioner in 1892 (Vide
Dy Commrs report dated
15th July 1892 No 155) the
evidence went to prove
that the Matt had long
been in possession of the
lands and Tope, that the
Linga mudra stones had
not been tampered with
and that there was, —
scarcely if at all, any

room for encroachment.
In proof of this last as-
sertion the Deputy Com-
missioner submits a list
of Government and minor
Inam holdings sur-
rounding the Matt lands
and the dates from which
they are known from
Government accounts
have been in the
occupancy of ryots.
Two of these holdings,
Survey numbers 65 and
107, one lying to the South
of Survey number 61 —
claimed by the matt —
and the other to the West
of Survey number 58,
have been occupied at
least since 1831-32.

It may be observed that Lingamudi stones are not by themselves such marks as to raise a prima facie presumption as to their permanency and that the oral evidence of elderly witnesses as to their not having been tampered with is not necessarily conclusive. In this case, however, there is other and strong internal evidence that the stones have remained ~~in tact~~ intact since 1812. The language of the Nowup of 1812 and especially of the Bakshi's order following it, clearly shew that the

matt at that time had some troubles with the local authorities about its right to enjoy all the lands comprised within Lingamudra stones and the Bakshis order directs the Amildar to let the matt peaceably enjoy all the lands and tope surrounded by Linga-mudra stones. The Linga mudra stones having formed such a prominent feature in the Settlement effected by the Nowp of 1812 in favor of the matt and the Inam being surrounded on all sides by private holdings

which have long been
in occupation, it is
exceedingly improbable
that the Matt authori-
ties would have at-
-tempted to alter the
position of the stones
Subsequent to
~~since~~ 1812 It is also
a point in favor of
the Matt that the Surv
numbers 60 and 61 ex-
-cluded from the Inam
by the Survey Depart
ment comprise the Tope
of Ippé and Mango
trees which finds expre
mention both in the
Nirup of 1812 and in
the Bakshis order fol
lowing the Nirup
Under these circum-

-rances, Government are satisfied that the matt has made out its claim to exceptional treatment under Rule XI A of the Inam Settlement Rules of 1872, and they are accordingly pleased to confirm to the matt Survey numbers 58, 59, 60 and 61 on the Inam fair rent of Rs 3/12 -

Print

Chief Secretary

To,

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur Dist

~~the above order~~ and ~~Assistant Commr of Revenue Holenarsipur~~ will settle the Dept Cairnt. the matt' ~~account of cost of cases~~

L. Rev. acct. with Govt, in acct with the above order

1-6-94.

Letter 6/6/94.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Petition),—dated 1st June 1894.

READ —

(i) Petition No 180 of 1891-92, from Rudraiya, agent of Dhavankadevar Matt at Manangi in the Tumkur District, complaining of a large extent of the Inam lands comprised within the boundaries fixed by the grant having been treated as Khalsat.

(ii) Docket No. 4 dated 22nd April 1892, from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements, submitting the papers connected with the case, with a report thereon.

(iii) Correspondence ending with letter No. 531 dated 19th December 1892, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District

---

No. 2152J-30—P. F. 116-92, DATED, BANGALORE, 1ST JUNE 1894.

ORDER THEREON.—Petitioner claims that under Rule XI, Clause A of the Inam Rules, the excess discovered in the Inam lands attached to the Dhavankadevar Matt at Manangi in the Tumkur District, should be confirmed to the Matt on the original Jodi, as the lands in question are situated within Lingamudra stones fixed at the time of the original grant.

2. The title-deed to the Inam is a Nirup by the late Maharaja, dated 9th September 1812. This Nirup, which might be regarded as equivalent to a Sannad, purports to confirm on a Jodi of thirteen Hanas a subsisting Inam of lands and tope bounded by Lingamudra stones and enjoyed by the Matt for a long time prior to the issue of the Nirup. Bakshi Rama Row's order to the Amildar, dated October 1812, and based on the above Nirup, makes mention of a tope of mango and ippe trees to be continued as per mamul to the Matt. At the time of the Inam Settlement in 1872, the Inam was confirmed to the Matt on a quit-rent of Rs. 3-12-0. The question of excess was then raised, but though an enquiry was ordered and a report obtained which was favorable to the Inamdar, the decision was left to the Survey Department. During the Survey, the lands within the Lingamudra stones were made into four Survey Nos, 58, 59, 60 and 61, measuring 106 acres, ~~and~~ 10 guntas, of which No. 59, measuring 26 acres ~~and~~ 25 guntas, was alone confirmed, the rest including the tope being brought under the head of Khalsat and charged with full assessment.

3. Both at the enquiry of 1872 and that of the Deputy Commissioner in 1892, (*vide* Deputy Commissioner's report dated 15th July 1892, No. 155), the evidence went to prove that the Matt had long been in possession of the lands and tope, that the Lingamudra stones had not been tampered with and that there was scarcely, if at all, any room for encroachment. In proof of this last assertion, the Deputy Commissioner submits a list of Government and Minor Inam holdings surrounding the Matt lands and the dates from which they are known from Government accounts to have been in the occupancy of raiyats. Two of these holdings, Survey Nos. 65 and 107, one lying to the south of Survey No. 61 claimed by the Matt and the other to the west of Survey No. 58, have been occupied at least since 1831-32

4. It may be observed that Lingamudra stones are not by themselves such marks as to raise a *prima facie* presumption as to their permanency and that the oral evidence of elderly witnesses as to their not having been tampered with is not necessarily conclusive. In this case, however, there is other and strong internal evidence that the stones have remained intact since 1812. The language of the Nirup of 1812 and especially of the Bakshi's order following it, clearly show that the Matt at that time had some troubles with the local authorities about its right to enjoy all the lands comprised within Lingamudra stones, and the Bakshi's order directs the Amildar to let the Matt peaceably enjoy all the lands and tope surrounded by Lingamudra stones. The Lingamudra stones having formed such a prominent feature in the settlement effected by the Nirup of 1812 in favor of the Matt, and the Inam being surrounded on all sides by private holdings which have long been in occupation, it is exceedingly improbable that the Matt authorities would have attempted to alter the position of the stones subsequent to 1812 It is also a point in favor of the Matt that the Survey Nos. 60 and 61 excluded from the Inam by the Survey Department, comprise the tope of ippe and mango trees which finds express mention both in the Nirup of 1812 and the Bakshi's order

5. Under these circumstances, Government are satisfied that the Matt ha made out its claim to exceptional treatment under Rule XI *a* of the Inam Settle ment Rule of 1872, and they are accordingly pleased to confirm to the Matt, Surve Nos. 58, 59, 60 and 61 on the Inam quitrent of Rs. 3-12-0. The Inam Settlemen will be revised, and the Deputy Commissioner will settle the Matt's Land Revenu account with Government in accordance with the above order.

T. ANANDA ROW,
Chief Secretary.

To—The Superintendent, Inam Settlements in Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District

Returns Prepared Staff.
2-1-96

Drafted
MVK
7/7

From

The Superintendent
of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

1895-

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Mysore.

Pet 829

Dated 25th
Despatched 27 } June
Received.

Enclosure No.

No. 73

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 21529-3 P.F. 116-92 dated 1st Instant, I have the honour to request that the Chief Secretary will be so good as to direct the return of all the Enclosures sent with this Office Docket No. 4 dated 22nd April 1892 connected with the Damanka Vadear Inam's Inam case at Mavanga Village Koonkur Taluk, as they are required for reference.—

H. Wyatt
Supdt. of Inam Settlements

Pet
27.6.94

Superintendent of
Inam Settlements

dated 10-7-94
Deptl 12-7.

No. 400 / Petn 116-92

Encs: 1 Inam Regr 1 memo & V. file.
No 153.

His docket No. 73 dated
5th June 1894.

In reply, has the honor
to return ~~herewith~~ the Karna-
tic ~~letter~~ all the enclosures
received with his docket No 4
~~No. 153 of the Inam Register~~
~~dated 22nd April 1892 and~~
~~the Vernacular papers~~
connected with the Damanka
case, and Matti Inam
case, ~~received with his~~
~~No 4 dated 22nd April~~
~~1892~~.

By order

Chief Secretary

Draft.

SVR
7/7

VJ 9/7

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

189

From

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No

General No. ——— ———
No.

20802 Sovgo
12-6-94

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
1st June 1894

Endorsement granted to Rudraiya, agent of Dhanankadevar matt, Manangi Tumkur Tal.

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 3075 A.S 180 dated 15th January 189.

praying that the excess found in the Inam lands attached ~~to~~ may be confirmed to the matt on the original Sode Anantha Devara Matt

Petitioner is informed that Govt. are pleased to confirm to the matt Survey numbers 58, 59, 60 & 61 of the Manangi Village on the Inam quit rent of Rs 3-12-0, and that orders have been issued for the revision of the Inam settlement for the settlement of the Matt's Dr. Rent account & with Govt accordingly. The Petr. is referred to the Deputy Comr.

"By Order"

~~Draft~~

11/6

for Chief Secretary.

Sl. 9/6.

M. G. C. P, Bangalore—6-3 94.—2000.

11/6

Extract from the Registe of Inams In

Hobli — Village.	Serial No.	Name of Inamdar	Description of Inam.	Conditions of grant.	Description of Land.	Field number	Old Extent.	Former
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
							P. C	R. A. P. K
Gulur. Manange.	0 903	The Managers for ve of the Pagoda of aW Matt at Manangi.	Devadaya	or the Support of the Manangi matt.	Dry	---	0-11-0	17-2-
					Wet	---	-4-0	1-2-7

N. B. The Extent mentioned

Inam Settlement Office,
Bangalore, 29th June 1880.

Extract from the final Quit-Rent Register

Hobli, Village	Title deed No.	Name of Inamdar	Description of Inam.	Condition of grant.	Description of Land.	Old Field No.	Old Extent	Former Assessment
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Gulur Hobli; Managsi Village.	824/903	Managi-	Devadaya	For the Support	Dry	...	0-11-0	17-12-9
		Danauka		of the	Wet	...	0-4-0	1-2-7
		Wodeyar Matt		Managi matt.			0-15-0	18-5-2

Inam Supdt's Office.
Bangalore. Dated 27th July 1897.

Taluk of Tumkur.

confirmed as per Survey		Quit-rent to Government.				Enfranchised or not.
Survey area	Survey assessment	Former Jodi.	Quit-rent now imposed	Inam Kasar	Total.	
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
g.	a. p.	Rs a. p.	Rs a. p.	Rs. a. p	a. p.	
16 36	12-0-0					
24 22	17-0-0					
2 3	6-0-0	3-12-6	...	...	3-12-0	
33 10	23-0-0					
29 19	20-0-0					
06 10	8-0-0					

(Sd) J. P. Grant,
Supdt. Inam Settlement

Taluk of Chunkur, Chunkur District.

Old assessment	Inam confirmed. Survey number	Survey area	Survey assessment	Quit-rent to Government. Former Jodi	Quit-rent now imposed.	Total.	Enfranchised or resd.	Remarks
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19.
A. P.				R. A. P	R. A P	R. A. P.		
-5-2	-	-	-	3-12-6	-	3-12-0	---	---
			×		×		×	

of the Krishnaraj ~~Bijapur~~ Standard.

(Sd) R. A. Cole,
Supdt of Inam Settl.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Muzarayi),—dated 16th January 1894.

MUZARAYI.

No. 741-50—Muz. F. 60-93, dated, Bangalore, 16th January 1894.

Order.—The Government resolve to republish for general information the following Notification of the late Chief Commissioner embodying the rules sanctioned by the Government of India on the subject of unauthorized alienations of Inam lands assigned to religious and charitable institutions.

A. SREENIVASSACHARLU,
Secretary.

To—The Deputy Commissioners of Districts
The Palace Controller, Mysore
The Inam Superintendent in Mysore.

NOTIFICATION.

The 17th November 1877.

No. 266.—Under the sanction of the Government of India, conveyed in their letters Nos. 89 R. and 105 R., dated 13th September and 29th October 1877, respectively, the following rules providing for the restoration of Inam lands unauthorizedly alienated from the religious or charitable institutions to which they were originally assigned, are published for general information :—

I. All Inam lands granted to religious and charitable institutions, and for the maintenance of persons rendering service therein, will be confirmed and continued to them, so long as the conditions of the grant are duly fulfilled.

II. Whenever it is found that such lands are diverted from the object for which they were granted, by means of sale, gift, mortgage or otherwise, the following rules shall be observed :—

A. Where such alienations were made prior to the 31st January 1853 but within 50 years computing the period of possession up to 14th December 1867, the lands or villages so alienated will, if not restored voluntarily by the holder, be confirmed and subjected to a jodi of one-half the assessment; the jodi or assessment so imposed being payable direct to the institution from which they were alienated.

B. In the case of alienations made subsequent to 31st January 1853, a notice will be issued to the alienee or alienees requiring him or them to restore the lands to the institution to which they belong, within 6 months from the date on which the notice is served on the alienee or alienees, or his or their agent or manager who may be in charge of the lands, and on default of compliance, the lands will be summarily resumed and restored to the institution by the Revenue authorities under instructions from the Inam Superintendent.

C. In the case of a simple mortgage or hypothecation of the Inam lands without possession, the transaction will be declared null and void in so far as it is intended to create a lien on the Lands or to treat them as security for the loan advanced.

III. Lands held for 50 years and upwards, with or without titles, computing the period of possession up to 14th December 1867, will be confirmed to the holders on the terms prescribed in the Land Inam Rules, and will not be included in the title-deed granted by the Inam Department to the religious institutions

IV. The alienations, whether made in favor of disciples of the institutions or others unconnected with it, will be treated alike, and disposed of under these rules.

By Order,
T. CLARKE,
Secretary.

1894

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

MUZARAYI

PROCEEDINGS.

Dated 16th January 1894.

No. 741-50.
Muz F. 60-93

Directing the republication of the rules on the subject of unauthorized alienations of Inam lands assigned to religious and charitable institutions.

MGCPB—120—30—12—01